# Replacement of Fish Meal with High Protein Distillers Dried Grain in Juvenile Rainbow Trout Diets

<sup>1</sup>M.E. Barnes, <sup>2</sup>M.L. Brown, <sup>3</sup>K.A. Rosentrater and <sup>4</sup>B. Fletcher
 <sup>1</sup>McNenny State Fish Hatchery, South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks, 19619 Trout Loop, Spearfish, 57783 SD, USA
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, South Dakota State University, Brookings, 57071 SD, USA
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Ames, Iowa State University, 50011 IA, USA
 <sup>4</sup>Cleghorn Springs State Fish Hatchery, South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks, 4725 Jackson Blvd, Rapid City, 57702 SD, USA

**Abstract:** The inclusion of High Protein Distillers Dried Grains (HPDDG) in Juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) diets was evaluated in a 73 day feeding trial. Three experimental diets contained 0, 10, 20% HPDDG, with the HPDDG, directly replacing fish meal as a protein source. With each incremental increase in HPDDG, there was a significant decrease in total and percent weight gain as well as an increase in feed conversion ratio, among the treatments. No significant differences in mortality, gut inflammation or any fish health measures were observed among the diets. The hepatosomatic index did not significantly change with changes in dietary HPDDG. Fillet crude protein levels were significantly lower in trout receiving the highest amount of dietary HPDDG. There were no differences in fillet lipid concentrations among any of the dietary treatments.

Key words: HPDDG, rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, alternative proteins, feeding

#### INTRODUCTION

Fish meal has been the primary protein source in the high protein diets of carnivorous aquaculture fish species; such as, rainbow trout (*Onchorynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) (Satia, 1974; Kim *et al.*, 1991; NRC, 2011; Cheng and Hardy, 2004b). However, the rapid growth in global aquaculture (FAO, 2009), combined with use of fish meal in other agricultural livestock feeds, has greatly increased the demand and market prices for limited fish meal stocks (Tacon and Metian, 2008; Hardy, 2010). Thus, lower-cost, plant-based protein sources such as Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS) will likely be needed to either partially or totally replace the fish meal component in salmonid diets (Hardy, 2010).

As the corn-based ethanol biofuel industry has grown, so has the availability of the DDGS coproduct (Rosentrater and Muthukumarappan, 2006). DDGS are relatively high protein (Wu et al., 1997; Rosentrater and Muthukumarappan, 2006) and contain few if any, anti-nutritional factors found in other plant protein sources (Jauncey and Ross, 1982; Wilson and Poe, 1985;

Shiau et al., 1987; Robinson, 1991). Compared to other corn products nutrients are more concentrated in DDGS (Chevanan et al., 2008), although compared to fish meal, the essential amino acids lysine and methionine are present in lower concentrations (Cheng and Hardy, 2004b).

Average protein levels in conventional DDGS are near 30% (Spiehs et al., 2002), although there is often considerable variation from batch to batch (Belyea et al., 1998; Rosentrater and Muthukumarappan, 2006) due to processing variations (Belyea et al., 2004) or the characteristics of the source grain (Abo-State et al., 2009). High protein distillers dried grains HPDDG are produced by fractionating the corn and removing the non-fermentable fractions prior to ethanol production (Singh et al., 2005). The protein levels in HPDDG are approximately 50% greater than those of DDGS produced by conventional processing and phosphorous availability may also be increased (Robinson et al., 2008).

The earliest incorporation of conventional DDGS in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* diets was performed by Phillips (1949), with 3% dietary DDGS successfully

used by Sinnhuber (1964). Similar to DDGS, distillers dried solubles were used by Phillips (1949). Other distillers grain products showed no ill effects when incorporated into salmonids diets at low concentrations (Fowler and Banks, 1976; Hughes, 1987). Cheng and Hardy (2004b) stated that in comparison to diets containing 30% fish meal, DDGS could replace up to 50% the fish meal component when fed to 50 g rainbow trout. They also observed that with lysine and methionine supplementation, DDGS could replace 75% of the fish meal component (22.5% of the total diet). However, the diets used in their study including the control, contained 15% soybean meal. A true fish meal only control was not included in that study; Stone et al. (2005) noted that rainbow trout fed fish meal control diets performed significantly better than trout receiving dietary DDGS. Cheng et al. (2003) indirectly examined DDGS in rainbow trout diets. In their study, diets containing 18.5% DDGS, 17.5% soybean meal and 17.5% fish meal, in conjunction with the use of a methionine hydroxyl analogue, produced similar rearing results as diets with 18.5% DDGS and 35% fish meal (Cheng et al., 2003). Cheng and Hardy (2004a) evaluated the use of phytase in rainbow trout diets containing and found that apparent digestibility coefficients were improved for total-phosphorous and other minerals with phytase supplementation, whereas Barnes et al. (2012a) noted decreased growth in Juvenile rainbow trout fed dietary DDGS even with phytase and amino acid supplements.

There is a relative lack of published information specific to the use of HPDDG in rainbow trout diets with only one short-term study (Barnes *et al.*, 2012b) indicating that HPDDG supplemented with essential amino acids could be included at dietary concentrations up to 20%. The objective of this study was to evaluate the direct replacement of fish meal with HPDDG in Juvenile rainbow trout diets without the inclusion of additional amino acids.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The feeding trial was conducted at Cleghorn Springs State Fish Hatchery, Rapid City, South Dakota, USA, using spring water at a constant temperature of 11°C (total hardness as CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; alkalinity as CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; pH, 7.5; total dissolved solids, 150 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, dissolved O<sub>2</sub>, 9 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). Flows in each tank were set at 40 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Approximately, 200 McConaughy strain rainbow trout (Mean+SE initial weight 10.5+0.6 g, length 8.1+0.2 mm) were placed into each of 16 fiberglass circular tanks (1.8 m diameter, 0.6 m depth) on July 13, 2009. Tank were loaded based on weight (to the nearest g) and fish numbers were estimated. Feeding commenced the

following day and continued for 72 days until the end of the trial. Feeding amounts for the tanks were determined by the Hatchery Constant (HC) method with a planned feed conversion of 1.1 and a maximum growth rate of 0.065 mm day<sup>-1</sup> which was based on the historical performance of the McConaughy strain at Cleghorn Springs State Fish Hatchery. Feed amounts were updated daily. Feed was uniformly dispensed from 07:00-17:00 h in each tank using automatic Sweeney vibrating feeders (Sweeney Enterprises, Inc., Boerne, Texas) electronically programmed to release feed at 60 min intervals. All feed dispensed and fish mortalities were recorded daily for each tank. Percent mortality was determined by dividing the number of fish that died during the trial by the total number of fish (200) initially present in each tank.

The 28 tanks were randomly assigned to one of four different diets (Table 1), with 4 tanks receiving the same diet. About 3 experimental diets contained 0, 10 or 20% HPDDG (Poet Dakota Gold HPDDDG, Glenville East, South Dakota, USA, 41.7% protein, 4.5% fat), with the HPDDG incrementally replacing fish meal as the primary protein source. In addition, a commercial diet (Silvercup®, Nelson and Sons, Inc., Murray, Utah) was included in the design as a reference diet. Feed manufacturing of the experimental diets is described in Ayadi et al. (2011). The resulting extruded feed pellets were analyzed according to AOAC (2009) methodology for protein (method 2001.11) lipid (method 2003.5, modified by and crude substituting petroleum ether for diethyl ether) and ash

Table 1: Percent composition and chemical analysis of the diets used in the Juvenile rainbow trout feeding trial

		Diet		
T 11 4	D.C			
Ingredients	Reference	ı	2	3
Herring meal <sup>b</sup>	-	50.0	40.00	30.00
HPDDG	0.00	0.0	10.00	20.00
Whole wheat flourd	-	15.0	15.00	15.00
Corn gluten meal	-	15.0	15.00	15.00
Menhaden oilf	-	12.0	12.50	13.00
Celufil <sup>g</sup>	-	5.5	5.00	4.50
Vitamin and mineral mixh	-	2.0	2.00	2.00
Vitamin C (Stay-C)i	-	0.5	0.50	0.50
Total	-	100.0	100.00	100.00
Chemical analysis (dry basis	%) <sup>j</sup>			
Crude protein	49.6	52.6	50.80	46.40
Crude lipid	19.6	15.8	15.70	15.10
Ash	-	9.0	7.80	6.40
GE (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> dry matter)	-	17.8	17.48	16.47

<sup>a</sup>Silvercup, Nelson and Sons, Inc., Murray, Utah, USA; <sup>b</sup>Lortscher Agri Service, Inc., Bern, Kansas, USA; <sup>c</sup>Poet Nutrition, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA; <sup>d</sup>Bob's Red Mill Natural Foods, Inc., Milwaukie, Oregon, USA; <sup>c</sup>Consumers Supply Distributing Company, Sioux City, Iowa, USA <sup>c</sup>Omega Protein, Inc., Houston, Texas, USA; <sup>c</sup>USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; <sup>b</sup>Lasi Fish Premix, NB-8055, Lortscher Agri Service, Inc., Bern, Kansas, USA; <sup>c</sup>DSM Nutritional Products France SAS, Village-Neuf, France; <sup>c</sup>Analysis conducted on post-extrusion pellets

content by AACC (2000) method 08-03. The protein and lipid amounts obtained by these methods were multiplied by their respective physiological fuel values of 23.6 and 39.5 joules (NRC, 2011) to obtain estimated gross energy values.

At the end of the trial, total tank weights were recorded to the nearest g with weight gain calculated by subtracting the initial weight from the final weight for each tank. Feed conversion ratio for each tank was calculated by dividing the total amount of food fed by the total weight gain. In addition to total tank measurements, 5 fish from each tank were randomly selected from each tank and individually weighed to the nearest g and measured (total length) to the nearest mm. Fish health profiles, based on a modification of Goede and Barton (1990), Adams et al. (1993) and Barton et al. (2002) were completed using the score sheet described in Table 2. Liver weights were also recorded to the nearest mg and the Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) determined using the formula (Strange, 1996):

 $HSI(\%) = 100 \times Liver weight / whole fish weight$ 

Apparent protein digestability was determined using a direct method (Windell *et al.*, 1978). Digesta was removed from 5 fish per tank at the end of the trial. Each fish was dissected and the last cm of the distal end of the intestine was gently squeezed to remove the contents. Digesta from 5 fish per tank was pooled and flash frozen on dry ice prior to analysis. Protein analysis was

Table 2: Criteria used at the end of the study for fish health observations based on Goede and Barton (1990), Adams et al. (1993) and Barton et al. (2002)

Structure or tissues	Rating criteria	Numeric rating
Eyes	Normal	0
-	Abnormal	1
Fat	None	0
	<50% of gut covered	1
	>50% of gut covered	2
	100% of gut covered	3
Fins	No erosion	0
	Light erosion	1
	Moderate erosion	2
	Severe erosion	3
Gills	Normal	0
	Clubbed, frayed or discolored	1
Gut	Normal	0
	Slight inflammation	1
	Moderate inflammation	2
	Severe inflammation	3
Kidney	Normal	0
	Abnormal	1
Liver	Normal	0
	Abnormal	1
Pseudobranchs	Normal	0
	Abnormal	1
Opercles	Normal	0
	Short	1
Spleen	Normal	0
	Cysts or enlarged	1

conducted using AOAC (2009) method 990.03. Apparent protein digestability was calculated using the formula:

$$\label{eq:protein in the diet -} \text{Apparent protein digestability} = \frac{\text{protein in the digesta}}{\text{Protein in the diet}}$$

At the end of the experiment, 5 whole fish per tank were euthanized; muscle fillets were then removed and flash frozen for determination of carcass composition. The fillets from each tank were pooled and analyzed for crude protein levels with a TruSpec CNS combustion analyzer (LECO Corp., St. Joseph, Michigan, USA) using AOAC (2009) method 992.15. AOAC (2009) acid hydrolysis method 948.15 with a 50:50 mix of diethyl ether and petroleum ether for extraction was used for fat analysis and moisture was determined by drying loss using AOAC (2009) method 952.08.

Data were analyzed using the SPSS (9.0) Statistical analysis Program (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA) with significance predetermined at p<0.05. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted and if the treatments were significantly different, pairwise mean comparisons were performed using the Tukey HSD test (Kuehl, 2000). All mortality percentage data were arcsine-square root transformed prior to analysis to stabilize the variances (Kuehl, 2000).

#### RESULTS

With each incremental increase in the amount of dietary HPDDG, there was a significant decrease in total weight gain among the tanks of rainbow trout (Table 3). There was no significant difference in weight gain between those tanks receiving the reference diet and the formulated diet that did not contain any HPDDG. Percent

Table 3: Total tank rearing data (Means±SE) including Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR\*) and estimated Apparent Protein Digestibility (APD\*) for tanks of rainbow trout receiving one of 7 different diets containing incremental amounts of High Protein Distillers Dried Grains (HPDDG)

		Diet (HPDDG (%))			
Parameters	Reference (0%)	1 (0)	2 (10)	3 (20)	
Tankss	4	4	4	4	
Start weight (g)	1.665	1.665	1.665	1.665	
End weight (g)	7.591±102°	7.595±37 <sup>a</sup>	7.123±31 <sup>b</sup>	6.639±38°	
Gain (g)	5.926±102°	5.930±37°	5.528±31 <sup>b</sup>	4.974±38°	
Gain (%)	356±6°	356±2ª	332±2 <sup>b</sup>	299±2°	
Food fed (g)	4.494	4.494	4.494	4.494	
FCR	$0.76 \pm 0.01^a$	0.76±0.01°	$0.81\pm0.01^{b}$	$0.90\pm0.01^{\circ}$	
Mortality (%)	1.00+0.54	$0.50\pm0.20$	$0.38\pm0.13$	$0.38\pm0.24$	
APD	=	88.1±0.4ª	88.9±0.2 <sup>ab</sup>	89.3±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	

<sup>a</sup>FCR = Total food fed/total weight gain; <sup>b</sup>APD = (Dietary protein-protein in digesta)/dietary protein; means with different letters across a row are significantly different (p<0.05)

weight gain followed the same pattern as that observed with total weight gain with the tanks receiving the reference and non-HPDDG diets increasing in weight over 350% during the course of the trial.

Feed conversion ratio was <1.0 for all of the diets with significant differences with each increase in dietary HPDDG. Mortality was not significantly different among any of the diets, ranging from a high 1.0% in commercial diet to 0.5% or less in the experimental diets. Apparent protein digestibility was significantly greater in the 20% dietary treatment compared to the diet that did not contain any HPDDG.

Individual fish lengths and weights generally decreased with increasing amounts of dietary HPDDG although these trends were not significantly different (Table 4). There was no significant difference in HSI among the treatments. No abnormalities in the eyes, kidneys, pseudobranchs or spleen were observed in any of the fish, regardless of diet. Some light fin erosion and

Table 4: Ending mean (±SE) lengths (mm), weights (g), condition factors (K)<sup>a</sup>, liver weights (g), hepatosomatic index values (HSI)<sup>b</sup> and fish health assessments<sup>c</sup> of rainbow trout fed diets containing incremental amounts of High Protein Distillers Dried Grains (HPDDG)

	,	Diet (HPDE	iet (HPDDG (%))		
Variables	Reference (0%	) 1 (0)	2 (10)	3 (20)	
Length	15.40±0.30	15.30±0.40	14.90±0.50	14.70±0.3 0	
Weight	43.20±2.80	41.40±2.90	37.60±3.70	35.30±3.30	
K	$1.18\pm0.02$	$1.15\pm0.02$	$1.13\pm0.01$	$1.10\pm0.03$	
Liver weight	$0.89\pm0.08$	$0.74\pm0.04$	$0.58\pm0.04$	$0.78\pm0.11$	
HSI	$2.09\pm0.24$	$1.80\pm0.15$	$1.57\pm0.13$	2.20±0.14	
Eyes	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Fat	$2.80\pm0.20$	$2.60\pm0.20$	$2.80\pm0.10$	2.90±0.10	
Fins	$1.00\pm0.10$	$0.80\pm0.10$	$0.90\pm0.10$	$0.80\pm0.10$	
Gills	$0.70\pm0.10$	$0.40\pm0.10$	$0.50\pm0.10$	$0.60\pm0.10$	
Gut	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Kidney	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Liver	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.10\pm0.10$	$0.20\pm0.20$	
Pseudobranchs	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Opercles	$0.40\pm0.10$	$0.60\pm0.10$	$0.60\pm0.20$	$0.60\pm0.10$	
Spleen	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	0.00±0.00	

°Condition factor (K) =  $10^5$  x (weight)/(length³); 'Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) = 100×(Liver weight/body weight); 'Fish health assessments rating system described in Table 2; Means in a row with different letters are significantly different (N = 4, p<0.05)

Table 5: Mean (±SE) percent water, crude protein, crude lipid and ash concentrations from fillets of rainbow trout fed diets containing incremental amounts of High Protein Distillers Dried Grains (HPDDG)

	Diet (HPDDC	Diet (HPDDG (%))			
Parameters	1 (0)	2 (10)	3 (20)		
Water (%)	75.2±0.5	73.8±0.4	73.1±0.7		
Crude protein (%)	$18.4 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$18.8\pm0.2^a$	$17.0\pm0.2^{b}$		
Crude lipid (%)	5.1±0.5	$5.4\pm0.3$	$7.5\pm1.0$		
Ash (%)	1.4±0.1	1.4±0.1	1.4±0.1		
N. C	11:00		C 0.T - 4		

Means in a row with different letters are significantly different (N = 4, p<0.05)

opercle shortening was commonly observed in fish from all of the tanks. Abdominal fat covered nearly all of the viscera in nearly all of the fish examined.

Crude protein was over 18% in fillets from trout fed either the experimental diet void of any HPDDG or the diet containing 10% HPDDG (Table 5). Protein levels were significantly lower in those fish receiving the diet with 20% HPDDG however. Crude lipid, ash and water percentages were not significantly different among any of the dietary treatments.

### DISCUSSION

The decrease in growth with increasing concentrations of dietary HPDDG observed in this study are different than the results obtained by Barnes et al. (2012b) where diets containing HPDDG also contained additional amino acids and phytase. These studies differed in dietary formulation however. In this study, HPDDG directly replaced fish meal with a corresponding decrease in fish meal with the inclusion of increasing amounts of HPDDG. In Barnes et al. (2012b), fish meal levels did not decrease in diets containing 10% HPDDG and only decreased from by 25% in the diets containing 20% HPDDG. Amino acid supplementation may explain the different results. It is possible that the diets containing 20% HPDDG diets may have possibly been methionine deficient (NRC, 2011) in the present study, although it cannot be stated with any certainty because dietary amino acid compositions were not determined. However, the relatively high levels of fish meal used in the 10% HPDDG diets ikely provided enough essential amino acids.

The decrease in growth with increasing concentrations of dietary HPDDG observed in this study are different than the results obtained by Cheng and Hardy (2004b). They stated that DDGS could replace up to 50% of the fish meal component in trout diets. There are substantial differences between the two studies, however, the control diet used in this study was a fish-meal only control, whereas the control and DDGS-containing diets used by Cheng and Hardy (2004b) all contained soybean meal as an additional protein source. The feed conversion ratio of their control diet at 1.21 was also much greater than that observed in this study at 0.76. Stone et al. (2005) also noted that fish meal control diets also performed better than diets containing DDGS during rainbow trout rearing. Cheng and Hardy (2004b) used lower protein DDGS, versus the HPDDG used in this study. The results of this study are consistent with those of other experiments involving dietary DDGS and rainbow trout (Phillips, 1949; Barnes et al., 2012a).

Feed production techniques can affect diet digestibilities in fish (Jeong et al., 1991; Cheng and Hardy, 2003; Glencross et al., 2011). Feed for this study was prepared by extrusion, likely the most common process for commercial feed manufacturing, while Cheng and Hardy (2004b) used a pellet mill to manufacture their feed. This study used rainbow trout that were approximately 80% smaller than those used by Cheng and Hardy (2004b) hence, the diets contained higher protein because of the smaller sized fish. Due to the variability in the nutritional composition from batch to batch of conventional DDGS (Belyea et al., 1998; Rosentrater and Muthukumarappan, 2006; Abo-State et al., 2009), it may be difficult to replicate the results of trials using conventional DDGS. Lastly, differences in water temperature are known to affect digestible energy and protein (Watanabe et al., 1996a, b; Azevedo et al., 1998) and the water temperatures used in the present study were over 3°C colder than that used by Cheng and Hardy (2004b). The fish size, feed production technique and other methods used by Cheng and Hardy (2004a) which are similar to those of Cheng and Hardy (2004b), may also make comparisons to this study difficult.

Although, the diets were formulated to be as isonitrogenous and isocaloric as possible, there was a slight decrease in protein, lipid and gross energy with each increase in dietary HPDDG. However, the protein concentration of the experimental diets with either 0 or 10% HPDGG was greater than the commercial diet and all diets were within the range recommended for rainbow trout (Satia, 1974; Wilson, 1989; Kim et al., 1991; NRC, 2011). Even though menhaden oil amounts were increased in the dietary formulations in conjunction with HPDDG increases, this was not enough to compensate for the loss of lipid resulting from the decrease in fish meal. In addition, the increase in menhaden oil in the HPDDG-containing diets was not enough to induce any protein sparing effects (Kim, 1997; Yigit et al., 2002; Chaiyapechara et al., 2003; Morrow et al., 2004).

The nearly identical results observed between the control and reference (commercial) diet used in this study indicates the validity of the control as a comparison to the experimental diets containing HPDDG. The relatively low feed conversion ratios for both the control and reference diet are not unusual for production rainbow trout at this size at hatcheries in South Dakota (Barnes *et al.*, 2011) or elsewhere (Figueiredo-Silva *et al.*, 2005) and could also be explained by the low rearing densities used in the trial (Holm *et al.*, 1990; Procarione *et al.*, 1999). Although, significantly different than that observed in the control diet, the poorer feed conversion ratios in the diets containing HPDDG may still produce an acceptable cost-benefit ratio during trout production.

Apparent protein digestibilities were very similar to those reported by Cheng and Hardy (2004a) for rainbow trout fed diets containing fish meal, soybean meal and DDGS with varying amounts of phytase. However, apparent protein digestibilities were slightly lower than that observed by Barnes et al., (2012b) for rainbow trout fed HPDDG-containing diets with phytase and additional amino acids as well as that reported by Gao et al. (2011) for rainbow trout fed either diets entirely of fish meal or diets with partial fishmeal replacement by various plant protein sources. The rainbow trout used in both of these studies were considerably larger at initial weights of 129 and 940 g respectively, compared to those used in this study which were only 10 g at the start of the trial. The different techniques used to estimate protein digestibility in fish fed fish meal diets in this study compared to those in Gao et al. (2011) may also explain the different results (Rawles et al., 2010).

Changes in dietary protein component and lipid concentrations can influence the resulting composition of fish fillets (Gatlin et al., 2007; Tobin et al., 2006; Sealey et al., 2011a). The decreased crude protein fillet proximate analysis percentages observed with increasing dietary HPDDG in this study are different than that reported for fish fed HPDDG with supplemental amino acids (Barnes et al., 2012b) but similar to that reported for channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) fed either fish meal or DDGS-containing diets (Li et al., 2010, 2011). Although not statistically significantly different, the increase in fillet lipid concentration in this study with increasing dietary HPDDG appears to follow the pattern observed by Lim et al. (2009) and Li et al. (2010). Both Lim et al. (2009) and Li et al. (2010) reported increased fat concentrations in channel catfish with increasing amounts of DDGS in the feed. While, it is possible that HPDDG influenced fillet lipid composition, Barnes et al. (2012b) did not observe any significant difference in rainbow trout fed diets containing HPDDG and Johnson et al. (2011) did not observe increased lipid concentrations in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) fed low fish meal, high fat diets in comparison to those receiving high fish meal, low fat feeds. The percent moisture and crude protein of fillets from the trout receiving the control, fish-meal-only diet were very similar to that reported by Yildiz (2004) but less than that reported by Sealey et al. (2011b). However, the rainbow trout fillets analyzed by Sealey et al. (2011b) came from fish that were fed a 29% fish meal control diet that also contained 16% soybean meal.

The lack of difference in HSI among the diets was unexpected. Hepatosomatic index is positively related to carbohydrate levels in the diet (Daniels and Robinson, 1986; Kim and Kaushik, 1992). Higher HSIs have also been associated with slower growth rates and decreased feed

efficiency (Takeuchi and Watanabe, 1982) both of which describe the fish receiving dietary DDGS. The hepatosomatic index either slightly decreased or showed no effect, from dietary DDGS in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) (Schaeffer *et al.*, 2009, 2010) and was also unaffected by dietary protein in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Fine *et al.*, 1996).

Although, specific feeding trial durations are not universally specified, they generally need to last long enough for any potential significant differences among the diets to materialize (Weatherup and McCracken, 1999). In a study by De Francesco *et al.* (2004), differences in trout rearing performance between fish meal and plant-based diets did not become apparent until after 12 weeks. The present study lasted over 10 weeks which was long enough for significant differences to occur.

### CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that the direct replacement of fish meal in Juvenile rainbow trout diets may only be suitable at dietary concentrations of 10% or less HPDDG. Juvenile rainbow trout diets containing HPDDG likely need to be supplemented with amino acids to produce acceptable fish growth and feed conversion ratios (Cheng and Hardy, 2004a; Barnes *et al.*, 2012b). In addition, trials should be conducted involving the *ad libitum* feeding of HPDDG-containing diets to different sizes of rainbow trout in contrast to the production-based methodology used with juvenile-sized fish in this study.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The researchers thank the Agriculture Experiment Station, South Dakota State University, Cleghorn Springs State Fish Hatchery, South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks and the North Central Agricultural Research Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Brookings, South Dakota for funding, facilities, equipment and supplies. Furthermore, the assistance of Ferouz Ayadi, Joseph Barnes, John Carreiro, Tom Johnson, Rilie Morgan, Sharon Nichols, Will Sayler, Dave Shaughnessy, Jill Voorhees and Mehmet Tulbek is greatly appreciated.

# REFERENCES

- AACC, 2000. Approved Methods of the American Association of Cereal Chemists. 10th Edn., American Association of Cereal Chemists Press, St. Paul, MN., USA.
- AOAC, 2009. Official methods of analysis. Accessed 01 May 2011. http://www.eoma.aoac.org/.

- Abo-State, H.A., A.M. Tahoun and Y.A. Hammouda, 2009. Effect of replacement of soybean by HPDDG combined with commercial phytase on Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings growth performance and feed utilization. Am. Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci., 5: 473-479.
- Adams, S.M., A.M. Brown and R.W. Goede, 1993. A quantitative health assessment index for rapid evaluation of fish condition in the field. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc., 122: 63-73.
- Ayadi, F.Y., K. Muthukumarappan, K.A. Rosentrater and M.L. Brown, 2011. Twin-screw extrusion processing of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) feeds using various levels of corn-based Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS). Cereal Chem., 88: 363-374.
- Azevedo, P.A., C.Y. Cho, S. Leeson and D.P. Bureau, 1998. Effects of feeding level and water temperature on growth, nutrient and energy utilization and waste outputs of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquat. Living Resour., 11: 227-238.
- Barnes, M.E., K. Wintersteen, E. Krebs, P. Nero, J. Tycz, S. Reichert and S. Zimmerman, 2011. 2010 Menenny state fish hatchery annual production report. South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks Annual Report 11-03, Pierre, South Dakota, USA.
- Barnes, M.E., M.L. Brown and K.A. Rosentrater, 2012a. Juvenile rainbow trout responses to diets containing distillers dried grain with solubles, phytase and amino acid supplements. Open J. Anim. Sci., 2: 69-77.
- Barnes, M.E., M.L. Brown and K.A. Rosentrater, 2012b. Initial observations on the inclusion of high protein distillers dried grain into rainbow trout diets. Open Fish Sci. J., 5: 21-29.
- Barton, B.A., J.D. Morgan and M.M. Vijayan, 2002. Physiological and Condition-Related Indicators of Environmental Stress in Fish. In: Biological Indicators of Aquatic Ecosystem Stress, Adams, S.M. (Eds.). American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, pp: 111-148.
- Belyea, R., S. Eckhoff, M. Wallig and M. Tumbleson, 1998. Variability in the nutritional quality of distillers solubles. Bioresource Technol., 66: 207-212.
- Belyea, R.L., K.D. Rausch and M.E. Tumbleson, 2004. Composition of corn and distillers dried grains with solubles from dry grind ethanol processing. Bioresour. Technol., 94: 293-298.
- Chaiyapechara, S., M.T. Casten, R.W. Hardy and F.M. Dong, 2003. Fish performance, fillet characteristics and health assessment index of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed diets containing adequate and high concentrations of lipid and vitamin E. Aquaculture, 219: 715-738.

- Cheng, Z.J. and R.W. Hardy, 2003. Effects of extrusion processing apparent digestibility coefficients trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquac. Nutr., 9: 77-83.
- Cheng, Z.J., R.W. Hardy and M. Blair, 2003. Effects of supplementing methionine hydroxyl analogue in soybean meal and distillers dried grain-based diets on the performance and nutrient retention of rainbow trout [Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum)]. Aquacult. Res., 34: 1303-1310.
- Cheng, Z.J. and R.W. Hardy, 2004a. Effects of microbial phytase supplementation in corn distiller=s dried grain with soluble on nutrient digestibility and growth performance of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. Applied Aquacult., 15: 83-100.
- Cheng, Z.J. and R.W. Hardy, 2004b. Nutritional value of diets containing distiller's dried grain with solubles for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. Applied Aquacult., 15: 101-113.
- Chevanan, N., K.A. Rosentrater and K. Muthukumarappan, 2008. Effect of DDGS, moisture content and screw speed on the physical properties of extrudates in single screw extrusion. Cereal Chem., 85: 132-139.
- Daniels, W.H. and E.H. Robinson, 1986. Protein and energy requirements of juvenile red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). Aquaculture, 53: 243-252.
- De Francesco, M., G. Parisia, F. Medaleb, P. Lupia, S.J. Kaushik and B.M. Polia, 2004. Effect of long-term feeding with a plant protein mixture based diet on growth and body/fillet quality traits of large rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture, 236: 413-429.
- FAO, 2009. The state of the world fisheries and aquaculture. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy. http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0250e/i0250e00.HTM.
- Figueiredo-Silva, A.C., E. Rema, N.M. Bandarrac, M.L. Nunesc and L.M.P. Valente, 2005. Effects of dietary conjugated linoleic acid on growth, nutrient utilization, body composition and hepatic lipogenesis in rainbow trout juveniles. Aquaculture, 248: 163-172.
- Fine, M., D. Zilberg, Z. Cohen, G. Degani, B. Moav and A. Gertler, 1996. The effect of dietary protein level, water temperature and growth hormone administration on growth and metabolism in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A: Physiol., 114: 35-42.
- Fowler, L.G. and J.L. Banks, 1976. Fish meal and wheat germ substitutes in the Abernathy diet. Prog. Fish Cult., 38: 127-130.

- Gao, Y., T. Storebakken, K.D. Shearer, M. Penn and M. Overland, 2011. Supplementation of fishmeal and plant protein-based diets for rainbow trout with a mixture of sodium formate and butyrate. Aquaculture, 311: 233-240.
- Gatlin, D.M., F.T. Barrows, P. Brown, K. Dabrowski and T.G. Gaylord *et al.*, 2007. Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aqua feeds: A review. Aquacult. Res., 38: 551-579.
- Glencross, B., W. Hawkins, D. Evans, N. Rutherford, P. McCafferty, K. Dods and R. Hauler, 2011. A comparison of the effect of diet extrusion or screw-press pelleting on the digestibility of grain protein products when fed to rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquaculture, 312: 154-161.
- Goede, R.W. and B.A. Barton, 1990. Organismic Indices and an Autopsy-Based Assessment as Indicators of Health and Condition in Fish. In: Biological Indicators of Stress in Fish, Adam, S.M. (Eds.). American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, USA., pp: 93-108.
- Hardy, R.W., 2010. Utilization of plant proteins in fish diets: Effects of global demand and supplies of fishmeal. Aquacult. Res., 41: 770-776.
- Holm, C.J., T. Refstie and S. Bo, 1990. The Effect of fish density and feeding regimes on individual growth rate and mortality in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus* mykiss). Aquaculture, 89: 225-232.
- Hughes, S.G., 1987. Distillers products in salmonid diets. Proceedings of the Distillers Feed Conference, Volume 42, (DFC'87), USA., pp. 27-31.
- Jauncey, K. and B. Ross, 1982. A Guide to Tilapia Feeds and Feeding. Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Scotland, UK.
- Jeong, K.S., T. Takeuchi and T. Watanabe, 1991. Improvement of nutritional quality of carbohydrate ingredients by extrusion processes in diets of red seabream. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 57: 1543-1549.
- Johnson, C.A., O. Hagen and E.A. Bendiksen, 2011. Long-term effects of high-energy, low-fishmeal feeds on growth and flesh characteristics of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture, 312: 109-116.
- Kim, K.I., T.B. Kayes and C.H. Amundson, 1991. Purified diet development and reevaluation of the dietary protein requirement of fingerling rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture, 96: 57-67.
- Kim, J.D. and S.J. Kaushik, 1992. Contributions of digestible energy from carbohydrates and estimation of protein/energy requirements for growth of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture, 106: 161-169.
- Kim, K.I., 1997. Re-evaluation of protein and amino acid requirements of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture, 151: 3-7.

- Kuehl, R.O., 2000. Design of Experiments: Statistical Principles of Research Design and Analysis. 2nd Edn., Duxbury Press, Pacific Grove, CA., ISBN: 978-0534188047.
- Li, M.H., E.H. Robinson, D.F. Oberle and P.M. Lucas, 2010. Effects of various corn distillers by-products on growth, feed efficiency and body composition of channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Aquacult. Nutr., 16: 188-193.
- Li, M.H., D.F. Oberle and P.M. Lucas, 2011. Evaluation of corn distillers dried grains with solubles and brewers yeast in diets for channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* (Rafinesque). Aquacult. Res., 42: 1424-1430.
- Lim, C., M. Yildirim-Aksoy and P.H. Klesius, 2009. Growth response and resistance to *Edwardsiella ictaluri* of channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, fed diets containing distiller's dried grains with solubles. J. World Aquacult. Soc., 40: 182-193.
- Morrow, M.D., D. Higgs and C.J. Kennedy, 2004. The effects of diet composition and ration on biotransformation enzymes and stress parameters in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., Part: C Toxicol. Pharmacol., 137: 143-154.
- NRC, 2011. Nutrient Requirements of Fish. National Academy Press, Washington DC.
- Phillips, A.M., 1949. Fisheries research bulletin No.13. Cortland Hatchery Report No. 18. Cortland, New York, USA.
- Procarione, L.S., T.B. Barry and J.A. Malison, 1999. Effects of high rearing densities and loading rates on the growth and stress responses of juvenile rainbow trout. North Am. J. Aquac., 61: 91-96.
- Rawles, S.D., K.R. Thompson, Y.J. Brady, L.S. Metts, A.L. Gannam, R.G. Twibell and C.D. Webster, 2010. A comparison of two faecal collection methods for protein and amino acid digestibility coefficients of menhaden fish meal and two grades of poultry byproduct meals for market-size sunshine bass (Morone chrysops x M. saxatilis). Aquacult. Nutr., 16: 81-90.
- Robinson, E.H., 1991. Improvement of cottonseed meal protein with supplemental lysine in feeds for channel catfish. J. Applied Aquac., 1: 1-14.
- Robinson, P.H., K. Karges and M.L. Gibson, 2008. Nutritional evaluation of four co product feedstuffs from the motor fuel ethanol distillation industry in the Midwestern USA. J. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 146: 345-352.
- Rosentrater, K.A. and K. Muthukumarappan, 2006. Corn ethanol coproducts: Generation properties and future prospects. Int. Sugar J., 108: 648-657.
- Satia, B.P., 1974. Quantitative protein requirements of rainbow trout. Prog. Fish. Cult., 36: 80-85.

- Schaeffer, T.W., M.L. Brown and K.A. Rosentrator, 2009. Performance characteristics of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed diets containing graded levels of fuel based distillers dried grains with solubles. J. Aquacult. Feed Sci. Nutr., 1: 78-83.
- Schaeffer, T.W., M.L. Brown, K.A. Rosentrator and K. Muthukumarappan, 2010. Utilization of diets containing graded levels of ethanol production co-products by Nile tilapia. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 94: E348-E354.
- Sealey, W., R.W. Hardy, F.T. Barrows, Q. Pan and D.A.J. Stone, 2011a. Evaluation of 100% fish meal substitution with chicken concentrate, protein poultry by-product blend and chicken and egg concentrate on growth and disease resistance of juvenile rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. World Aquacult. Soc., 42: 46-55.
- Sealey, W.M., T.G. Gaylord, F.T. Barrows, J.K. Tomberlin, M.A. McGuire, C. Ross and S. St.-Hilaire, 2011b. Sensory analysis of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, fed enriched black soldier fly prepupae, *Hermetia illucens*. J. World Aquacult. Soc., 42: 34-45.
- Shiau, S.Y., J.L. Chunag and C.L. Sun, 1987. Inclusion of soybean meal in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* H O. aureus) diets at two protein levels. Aquaculture, 65: 251-261.
- Singh, V., D.B. Johnston, K. Naidu, K.D. Raush, R.L. Belyea and M.E. Tumbleson, 2005. Comparison of modified dry-grind comprocesses for fermentation characteristics and HPDDG composition. Cereal Chem., 82: 187-190.
- Sinnhuber, R.O., 1964. Pelleted fish food. Feedstuffs, 36: 16-16.
- Spiehs, M.J., M.H. Whitney and G.C. Shurson, 2002. Nutrient database from distiller's dried grains with solubles produced from new ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. J. Anim. Sci., 80: 2639-2645.
- Stone, D.A.J., R.W. Hardy, F.T. Barrows and Z.J. Cheng, 2005. Effects of extrusion on nutritional value of diets containing corn gluten meal and corn distiller's dried grain for rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. Appl. Aquacult., 17: 1-20.
- Strange, R.J., 1996. Field Examination of Fishes. In: Fisheries Techniques, Murphy, B.R. and D.W. Willis (Eds.). 2nd Edn., American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, USA., ISBN: 188856900x, pp: 433-446.
- Tacon, A.G.J. and M. Metian, 2008. Global overview on the use of fish meal and fish oil industrially compounded aquafeeds: Trends and future prospect. Aquaculture, 285: 146-158.

- Takeuchi, T. and T. Watanabe, 1982. Effects of various polyunsaturated fatty acids on growth and fatty acid concentrations of rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri, coho salmon *Oncorhynchus kisutch* and chum salmon *Oncorhynchus keta*. Bull. Japanese Soc. Scient. Fish., 48: 1745-1752.
- Tobin, D., A. Kause, E.A. Mantysaari, S.A.M. Martin and D.F. Houlihan *et al.*, 2006. Fat or lean? The quantitative genetic basis for selection strategies of muscle and body composition traits in breeding schemes of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture, 261: 510-521.
- Watanabe, T., T. Takeuchi, S. Satoh and V. Kiron, 1996a.
  Digestible crude protein contents of various feedstuffs determined with four freshwater species.
  Fish. Sci., 62: 278-282.
- Watanabe, T., T. Takeuchi, S. Satoh and V. Kirom, 1996b.
  Digestible energy: Methodological influences and mode of calculation in fish. Science, 62: 288-292.
- Weatherup, R.N. and K.J. McCracken, 1999. Changes in rainbow trout, *Onchorynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), body composition with weight. Aquacult. Res., 30: 305-307.

- Wilson, R.P. and W.E. Poe, 1985. Effects of feeding soybean meal with varying trypsin inhibitor activities on growth of fingerling channel catfish. Aquaculture, 46: 19-25.
- Wilson, R.P., 1989. Amino Acid and Proteins. In: Fish Nutrition, Halver, J.E. (Eds.). 2nd Edn., Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA., pp. 111-151.
- Windell, J.T., J.W. Foltz and J.A. Sarokon, 1978. Method of faecal collection and nutrient leaching in digestibility studies. Prog. Fish Cult., 40: 51-55.
- Wu, Y.V., R.R. Rosati and P.B. Brown, 1997. Use of corn-derived ethanol products and synthetic lysine and tryptophan for growth of tilapia (*Oreochromis* niloticus) fry. J. Agric. Food Chem., 45: 2174-2177.
- Yigit, M., O. Yardim and S. Koshio, 2002. The protein sparing effects of high lipid levels in diets for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, W. 1792) with special reference to reduction of total nitrogen excretion. Isr. J. Aquac. Bamidgeh, 54: 79-88.
- Yildiz, M., 2004. The study of fillet quality and the growth performance of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed with diets containing different amounts of vitamin-E. Turki. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 4: 81-86.