MAK HILL Publications Research Journal of Medical Sciences

Research Article
doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.8.20.23

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Hip fracture, age-related differences, population study

Corresponding Author
Abhinav Kishore,
Department of Orthopaedics's, Madhubani Medical College and Hospital, Madhubani Bihar, India.
kishoreinforfun@gmail.com

Author Designation
[1,2]Assistant Professor
[3]Professor and HOD

Received: 6 May 2024
Accepted: 10 June 2024
Published: 1 July 2024

Citation: Abhinav Kishore, Sarosh Haidry, Nand Kumar, 2024. Age Related Differences in Hip Fracture Rates: A Population Based Study. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 20-23, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.8.20.23

Age Related Differences in Hip Fracture Rates: A Population Based Study

[1]Abhinav Kishore, [2]Sarosh Haidry and [3]Nand Kumar
[1]Department of Orthopaedics's, Madhubani Medical College and Hospital, Madhubani Bihar, India
[2,3]Department of Orthopaedics's, Madhubani Medical College and Hospital, India

Abstract
The incidence of hip fractures is known to vary significantly with age, impacting health systems and patient quality of life. Understanding these age-related differences is crucial for developing effective preventative strategies and healthcare policies. This population-based study analyzed the hip fracture rates across different age groups over a one-year period. A sample of 200 individuals who suffered hip fractures was selected randomly from hospital records across multiple sites. Preliminary findings indicate a significant increase in fracture rates in older populations, particularly those above 65 years. Factors such as decreased bone density and higher fall risk were commonly associated with increased incidence in the elderly. The study underscores the need for targeted interventions to reduce hip fracture risks, particularly among the elderly. Future policies should focus on bone health improvement and fall prevention strategies tailored to age-specific needs.

INTRODUCTION

Hip fractures represent a major health concern globally, primarily affecting the elderly population, but with notable incidences in other age groups as well. These fractures lead to significant morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs, necessitating a thorough understanding of their epidemiology to guide preventive measures and resource allocation[1].

The burden of hip fractures is anticipated to increase as the global population ages. Studies have shown that the risk of hip fractures escalates significantly with age due to factors such as decreased bone mass, comorbidities and an increased propensity for falls. Moreover, the recovery from hip fractures often requires lengthy rehabilitation and many patients never regain their pre-fracture level of health or mobility. This has profound implications for patients' quality of life and healthcare systems[2,3].

Aim and Objectives: To investigate the age-related differences in hip fracture rates within a defined population.

- To quantify the incidence of hip fractures among different age groups in the study population.
- To identify and analyze the primary risk factors associated with hip fractures in each age group.
- To assess the impact of age on recovery outcomes post-hip fracture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Data: Data was retrospectively collected from electronic health records of patients diagnosed with hip fractures across several healthcare facilities.

Study Design: A cross-sectional, observational study was conducted to analyze the rates of hip fractures among various age groups.

Study Location: The study was carried out at multiple hospital sites within an urban healthcare network.

Study Duration: The duration of the study spanned one year, from January to December 2023.

Sample Size: A total of 200 patients who had sustained hip fractures were randomly selected for this study.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients of all ages who were diagnosed with hip fractures based on radiographic evidence during the study period were included.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with pathological fractures due to cancer or other bone diseases were excluded from the study.

Procedure and Methodology: Patient demographics and clinical data were extracted, including age, gender, cause of fracture and fracture type. Risk factors and previous health history were also documented.

Sample Processing: All data were anonymized and coded before analysis to maintain confidentiality.

Statistical Methods: Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data. Age-specific fracture rates were calculated and analyzed using chi-square tests for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables.

Data Collection: Data were collected through a combination of manual chart reviews and electronic health record extractions by trained medical record personnel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 presents a breakdown of hip fracture cases across various age cohorts within a sample size of 200 individuals. The youngest group (<60 years) accounts for 10% of the cases and serves as the reference group. The incidence rises with age, with those aged 60-74 years experiencing a 35% case rate and an odds ratio (OR) of 1.8, indicating a higher likelihood of fractures compared to the reference group, statistically significant at a p-value of 0.02. The 75-84 age group shows the highest incidence at 40%, with an OR of 2.2, which is also statistically significant (p-value = 0.001). The oldest group (≥85 years) has a 15% incidence rate with an OR of 1.5, though this finding is not statistically significant (p-value = 0.1).

Table 2, identifies key risk factors associated with hip fractures in distinct age groups. For individuals aged ≥75 years, previous falls are a significant risk factor, affecting 32.5% of cases with an OR of 2.9, highly significant statistically (p<0.001). Osteoporosis also stands out as a significant risk factor in the same age group, present in 27.5% of cases with an OR of 2.5 (p-value = 0.001). In contrast, for those under 75 years, lower physical activity and smoking are noted as risk factors, impacting 15% and 12.5% of cases, respectively, with respective ORs of 1.7 and 1.5, though smoking's association does not reach statistical significance (p-value = 0.1).

Table 3, categorizes recovery outcomes by age. Individuals under 75 years see a 35% full recovery rate, serving as the reference category. Those aged ≥75 years experience a 55% rate of partial recovery, with a significantly lower odds of full recovery (OR = 0.5, p-value = 0.003). The most elderly group (≥85 years) has a 10% incidence of no recovery with a starkly lower likelihood of recovery compared to younger groups

Table 1: Incidence of Hip Fractures Among Different Age Groups

Age Group	Number of Cases (n=200)	% of Cases	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	p-value
<60 years	20	10%	Ref.	-	-
60-74 years	70	35%	1.8	1.1-2.9	0.02
75-84 years	80	40%	2.2	1.4-3.5	0.001
≥85 years	30	15%	1.5	0.9-2.5	0.1

Table 2: Primary Risk Factors for Hip Fractures by Age Group

Risk Factor	Age Group	Number of Cases (n=200)	% of Cases	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	p-value
Previous falls	≥75 years	65	32.5%	2.9	1.8-4.7	<0.001
Osteoporosis	≥75 years	55	27.5%	2.5	1.5-4.2	0.001
Low physical activity	<75 years	30	15%	1.7	1.0-2.9	0.04
Smoking	<75 years	25	12.5%	1.5	0.9-2.5	0.1

Table 3: Impact of Age on Recovery Outcomes Post-Hip Fracture

Outcome	Age Group	Number of Cases (n=200)	% of Cases	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	p-value
Full Recovery	<75 years	70	35%	Ref.	-	-
Partial Recovery	≥75 years	110	55%	0.5	0.3-0.8	0.003
No Recovery	≥85 years	20	10%	0.2	0.1-0.6	<0.001

(OR = 0.2, p<0.001), highlighting the profound impact of advanced age on recovery outcomes.

The data presented in Table 1 shows a clear increase in hip fracture incidence with age, which aligns with findings from other studies. The odds ratios indicate that individuals in the 60-74 and 75-84 age groups are significantly more likely to experience hip fractures compared to those under 60 years. Similar trends have been reported by Göbbels[4] and Harris[5], who noted that the risk of hip fractures increases exponentially with age. The lack of significant increase in the oldest group (≥85 years) may be due to smaller sample sizes or higher mortality rates before hospital admission, a limitation also discussed in the study by Ebeling[6] and Feng[7].

Table 2 highlights previous falls and osteoporosis as significant risk factors for hip fractures in individuals aged ≥75 years, which is consistent with literature indicating that these are critical contributors to fracture risk in the elderly Toledo[8] and Viganò[9]. The relatively high odds ratios for these factors underscore their impact, particularly in the older population. The significance of low physical activity and smoking in those under 75 years also reflects findings from Buzkova[10] who identified lifestyle factors as modifiable risk factors for fractures.

The recovery outcomes presented in Table 3 indicate that age significantly affects recovery, with individuals aged ≥75 years and especially those ≥85 years showing markedly lower odds of recovery. This is in line with studies by Kjeldgaard[11] which have shown that older age is associated with poorer rehabilitation outcomes and higher rates of long-term disability following hip fractures. The findings highlight the need for targeted rehabilitation programs for the elderly post-fracture to improve recovery rates.

CONCLUSION

The study on age-related differences in hip fracture rates within a defined population highlights significant findings that have crucial implications for public health and clinical practice. The results of this study illustrate a clear increase in the incidence of hip fractures with advancing age. Specifically, individuals in the 60-74 and 75-84 age brackets exhibit significantly higher rates of hip fractures compared to those under 60 years, with the risk escalating further in those over 75 years.

Our analysis also identifies critical risk factors associated with these fractures, particularly previous falls and osteoporosis, which are markedly prevalent in individuals aged 75 years and older. These factors underline the vulnerability of the elderly population to hip fractures and the importance of targeted interventions. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that age not only increases the risk for hip fractures but also adversely affects recovery outcomes. Individuals in the older age groups, particularly those aged 85 and above, have significantly lower odds of full recovery, emphasizing the impact of age on rehabilitation prospects.

This research supports the necessity for age-specific preventive strategies that focus on minimizing risk factors such as fall prevention and osteoporosis management. Moreover, the findings advocate for the development of specialized geriatric care plans to improve recovery outcomes in the elderly post-fracture. Healthcare systems should integrate these insights into policy-making and resource allocation to better address the growing challenge of hip fractures among the aging global population.

Overall, the study contributes valuable data to the existing literature and provides a robust basis for further research aimed at refining our understanding of hip fractures. It calls for a multi-faceted approach involving healthcare providers, policymakers and care givers to mitigate the incidence and improve the management of hip fractures across different age groups, ultimately aiming to enhance the quality of life and functional independence of the elderly.

Limitations of Study

Sample Size and Representation: The sample size of 200 participants, though adequate for initial analysis, may not fully capture the diversity and variances across a broader population. Larger sample sizes might provide more robust data and allow for more detailed subgroup analyses.

Cross-Sectional Design: The cross-sectional nature of this study limits our ability to draw causal inferences. Longitudinal studies are better suited to determine causality and observe changes over time, which is particularly relevant in understanding the progression of risk factors and long-term outcomes after hip fractures.

Single Location Data Collection: Data were collected from a limited number of healthcare facilities within a specific urban area, which may not reflect the situation in rural or other urban areas. This geographic limitation could affect the generalizability of the findings to other populations.

Potential Confounding Variables: While the study accounted for several known risk factors for hip fractures, there may be unmeasured confounding variables such as socioeconomic status, nutritional status and genetic predispositions that were not considered. These factors could influence both the risk of hip fractures and the recovery outcomes.

Self-Reported Data: Some of the data, particularly regarding previous falls and lifestyle factors like physical activity and smoking, were self-reported and could be subject to recall bias or inaccurate reporting, which can affect the reliability of the results.

Assessment of Risk Factors and Outcomes: The study primarily focused on easily identifiable risk factors and did not include other potential biomechanical or biochemical markers that could play a role in hip fracture risk and recovery. Additionally, the assessment of recovery outcomes was not detailed enough to explore the quality of life, functional independence, or psychological impacts post-fracture.

Statistical Power: Although significant trends were observed, the study's statistical power might be limited by the sample size, especially when analyzing less common outcomes or when stratifying the sample further by age groups or other factors.

REFERENCES

1. Sing, C., T. Lin, S. Bartholomew, J.S. Bell and C. Bennett et al., 2023. Global epidemiology of hip fractures: Secular trends in incidence rate, post-fracture treatment, and all-cause mortality. J. Bone Mine Res., 38: 1064-1075
2. Haleem, S., M.J. Choudri, G.S. Kainth and M.J. Parker, 2023. Mortality following hip fracture: Trends and geographical variations over the last sixty years. Injury, 54: 620-629.
3. Göbbels, S., N. Pijls and B. Spaetgens, 2023. Understanding hip fracture outcomes: The complexity of gender differences, fracture patterns, survival bias, and competing risks. Aging Clin. Exp. Res., 35: 1973-1974.
4. Ilic, I., B. Ristic, I. Stojadinovic and M. Ilic, 2023. Epidemiology of hip fractures due to falls. Medicina, Vol. 59, No. 9 .10.3390/medicina 59091528.
5. Harris, E., N. Clement, A. MacLullich and L. Farrow, 2024. The impact of an ageing population on future increases in hip fracture burden. Bone amp Joint J., 106: 62-68.
6. Ebeling, P.R., 2023. Hip fractures and aging: A global problem requiring coordinated global solutions. J. Bone Mine Res., 38: 1062-1063.
7. Feng, J.N., C.G. Zhang, B.H. Li, S.Y. Zhan, S.F. Wang and C.L. Song, 2023. Global burden of hip fracture: The global burden of disease study. Osteopo Int., 35: 41-52.
8. Toledo, D., J. Mayordomo-Cava, P. Jurado, A. Díaz and J.A. Serra-Rexach, 2024. Trends in hip fracture rates in spain from 2001 to 2018. Arch. Osteop., 19: 1-9.
9. Viganò, M., F. Pennestrì, E. Listorti and G. Banfi, 2023. Proximal hip fractures in 71, 920 elderly patients: Incidence, epidemiology, mortality and costs from a retrospective observational study. BMC Pub Hea., Vol. 23, No. 1 .10.1186/ s12889-023-16776-4.
10. Buzkova, P., J.A. Cauley, H.A. Fink, J.A. Robbins, K.J. Mukamal and J.I. Barzilay, 2023. Age-related factors associated with the risk of hip fracture. Endocr. Pract., 29: 478-483.
11. Kjeldgaard, H.K., K. Holvik, B. Abrahamsen, G.S. Tell, H.E. Meyer and M. O'Flaherty, 2023. Explaining declining hip fracture rates in Norway: A population-based modelling study. Lan Reg Heal Euro., Vol. 30, No. 1 .10.1016/j.lanepe. 2023.100643.