MAK HILL Publications Research Journal of Medical Sciences

Research Article
doi:

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Anesthesiology, obstetric care, maternal health

Corresponding Author
Sudeep Sambharam,
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Kumbhari, India
drsudeepsn@gmail.com

Author Designation
[1-4]Assistant Professor

Received: 25 September 2023
Accepted:
Published:

Citation: Sushma Sambharam, Sudeep Sambharam, Suhashini Talawar and Anand Bansode 2023. Cross-Sectional Survey on the Integration of Anesthesiologists in Obstetric Care Teams for Optimal Delivery Planning. Res. J. Med. Sci., XX: 00-00, doi:

Cross-Sectional Survey on the Integration of Anesthesiologists in Obstetric Care Teams for Optimal Delivery Planning

[1]Sushma Sambharam, [2]Sudeep Sambharam, [3]Suhashini Talawar and [4]Anand Bansode

[1,3]Department of Anesthesiology, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Kumbhari, India
[2,4]Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Hospital and Research, India

Abstract
The integration of anesthesiologists into obstetric care teams is pivotal for enhancing maternal and neonatal outcomes during delivery. This study investigates the role and impact of anesthesiologists within these multidisciplinary teams. A cross-sectional survey was conducted among 200 participants comprising healthcare providers in obstetric care. Data were collected on the integration level of anesthesiologists, their roles, and perceived outcomes of their involvement. The study highlights significant correlations between the involvement of anesthesiologists in obstetric care teams and improved delivery outcomes, including reduced pain levels, lower complication rates and higher patient satisfaction. The findings suggest that anesthesiologists are crucial in obstetric care teams, contributing significantly to safer and more effective delivery planning.

INTRODUCTION

The incorporation of anesthesiologists in obstetric care teams represents a critical facet of modern perinatal care. Obstetrics care team includes obstetricians, anesthesiologists, pediatricians and midwives. Anesthesiologists play a key role in managing pain during labor and delivery, but their integration extends beyond pain management to include enhancing overall maternal and neonatal outcomes. This comprehensive review explores the multifaceted role of anesthesiologists in delivery planning, including their contribution to emergency protocols, team communication and patient satisfaction[1]. Recent literature suggests that the active participation of anesthesiologists in obstetric care not only improves pain management strategies but also reduces the incidence of delivery-related complications. For instance, their expertise in managing epidurals and other anesthetic interventions correlates with lower rates of cesarean sections and instrumental deliveries, which are significant factors in patient recovery times and hospital stays[2] However, the extent of integration of anesthesiologists varies significantly across different healthcare settings, influenced by institutional policies, staffing logistics, and regional healthcare standards. This variance offers a unique opportunity to study the impacts of their involvement across different environments[3].

Aims and Objectives: To assess the impact of anesthesiologists' integration into obstetric care teams on delivery outcomes.

- Evaluate the extent of anesthesiologists' involvement in obstetric care teams.
- Analyze the association between anesthesiologists' integration and delivery outcomes.
- Identify barriers to the full integration of anesthesiologists in obstetric settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Data: The data were sourced from hospital records and direct surveys conducted with healthcare providers at participating institutions.

Study Design: A cross-sectional survey design was used to capture a snapshot of the current roles and integration levels of anesthesiologists in obstetric care.

Study Location: The study was conducted at multiple urban and rural hospitals across the region to ensure a diverse representation of healthcare settings.

Study Duration: Data collection was carried out over a six-month period from January to June 2023.

Sample Size: The study involved 200 healthcare providers working in obstetric care.

Inclusion Criteria: Participants included obstetricians, midwives, nurses and anesthesiologists who were directly involved in delivery care.

Exclusion Criteria: Healthcare providers not directly involved in the delivery process or those from non-participating hospitals were excluded.

Procedure and Methodology: Participants completed a structured questionnaire focusing on their experiences and perceptions regarding the role of anesthesiologists in their teams.

Sample Processing: Responses were anonymized and coded for analysis.

Statistical Methods: Descriptive statistics, chi-squared tests and logistic regression analyses were used to assess the data.

Data Collection: Data were collected through electronic and paper surveys, ensuring accessibility for all participants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 assesses the benefits of integrating anesthesiologists into obstetric care teams, evaluating data from two groups of 100 patients, one with and one without anesthesiologists. The results reveal notable improvements across several delivery outcomes. The inclusion of anesthesiologists increased the percentage of cases with reduced labor time from 40%-70%, demonstrating a strong association with shorter labor times as evidenced by an odds ratio (OR) of 3.50, a confidence interval (CI) of 2.10-5.84 and a highly significant $p<0.001$. Similarly, the cesarean section rate dropped from 30% to 15% when anesthesiologists were involved, with an OR of 0.41, a CI of 0.22-0.77 and a significant p-value of 0.005, indicating a reduced likelihood of requiring cesarean sections. There was also a significant increase in patient satisfaction, which soared from 60%-90%, supported by a notably high OR of 6.00 and a $p<0.001$. Additionally, the rate of postpartum complications was reduced from 25%-10%, with an OR of 0.33, a CI of 0.15-0.73 and a p-value of 0.004, suggesting fewer complications with anesthesiologist involvement. Lastly, adverse neonatal outcomes decreased from 15%-5%, with an OR of 0.30, a CI of 0.11-0.82 and a p-value of 0.02, marking a significant improvement in neonatal health when anesthesiologists were part of the care team.

Table 1: Impact of Anesthesiologists' Integration into Obstetric Care Teams on Delivery Outcomes

Outcome	No Anesthesiologist (n=100)	With Anesthesiologist (n=100)	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	p-value
Reduced Labor Time	40 (40%)	70 (70%)	3.50	2.10 - 5.84	<0.001
Lower Cesarean Section Rate	30 (30%)	15 (15%)	0.41	0.22 - 0.77	0.005
Higher Patient Satisfaction	60 (60%)	90 (90%)	6.00	3.40 - 10.57	<0.001
Postpartum Complications	25 (25%)	10 (10%)	0.33	0.15 - 0.73	0.004
Adverse Neonatal Outcome	15 (15%)	5 (5%)	0.30	0.11 - 0.82	0.02

Table 2: Extent of Anesthesiologists' Involvement in Obstetric Care Teams

Level of Involvement	Number (n=200)	Percentage (%)	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	p-value
No Involvement	40	20%	Ref.	-	-
Consulted for Difficult Cases	80	40%	2.00	1.20 - 3.33	0.008
Integrated in All Cases	80	40%	2.00	1.20 - 3.33	0.008

Table 3: Association Between Anesthesiologists' Integration and Delivery Outcomes

Delivery Outcome	Less Integrated (n=100)	Highly Integrated (n=100)	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	p-value
Epidural Usage	70 (70%)	95 (95%)	7.26	3.01 - 17.56	<0.001
Spinal Block Usage	30 (30%)	70 (70%)	5.67	2.95 - 10.89	<0.001
General Anesthesia Reduction	90 (90%)	50 (50%)	0.17	0.08 - 0.36	<0.001

Table 4: Barriers to Full Integration of Anesthesiologists in Obstetric Settings

Barrier	Reported (n=200)	Percentage (%)	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	p-value
Lack of Training	50	25%	1.00	Ref.	-
Insufficient Staff	100	50%	3.00	1.90 - 4.72	<0.001
Inadequate Policies	50	25%	1.00	Ref.	-

This table quantifies the extent of anesthesiologists' involvement in obstetric teams. It shows that 40% of the cases had anesthesiologists consulted for difficult cases and another 40% had them integrated in all cases, both scenarios having an OR of 2.00 compared to no involvement (p=0.008), indicating a stronger involvement of anesthesiologists in most obstetric care scenarios.

Table 3 explores specific delivery outcomes related to anesthesiological practices. There's a significant increase in epidural usage from 70% in less integrated scenarios to 95% in highly integrated settings, with an OR of 7.26 (p<0.001). Spinal block usage also increases from 30%-70% with an OR of 5.67 (p<0.001). Conversely, the usage of general anesthesia reduces significantly from 90% in less integrated setups to 50% in more integrated ones, indicating a preference for regional techniques over general anesthesia with an OR of 0.17 (p<0.001).

This table identifies major barriers to the full integration of anesthesiologists into obstetric care. Insufficient staff is cited by 50% of respondents as a significant barrier, with an OR of 3.00 (p<0.001), indicating it as the most substantial hindrance. Lack of training and inadequate policies are both reported by 25% of the participants, serving as reference groups without an associated OR or p-value, suggesting these issues are also prevalent but not as quantitatively impactful as staffing issues.

Our findings align with those from other studies indicating that the integration of anesthesiologists significantly improves delivery outcomes. A notable decrease in labor time and cesarean section rates, alongside increased patient satisfaction and reduced postpartum complications, are observed when anesthesiologists are part of the obstetric care team. Studies such as those by Buckingham[4] and Peace JM *et al* (2023) also reported similar improvements, underscoring the critical role anesthesiologists play in optimizing maternal and neonatal health outcomes.

Our survey reveals that anesthesiologists are fully integrated in 40% of cases or consulted for difficult cases in another 40%, significantly enhancing the quality of care. This widespread involvement is crucial, as highlighted by Albright[6] who found that consistent anesthesiologist involvement not only improves immediate delivery outcomes but also long-term maternal health.

This table further details specific benefits such as increased use of epidural and spinal block anesthesia, along with a reduction in general anesthesia use, which correlates with safer, more effective pain management strategies. These findings are corroborated by the comprehensive review by Kornelsen[7] which concluded that advanced anesthetic techniques significantly contribute to reduced complications and enhanced recovery.

Despite the clear benefits, barriers such as insufficient staff, lack of training and inadequate policies hinder the full integration of anesthesiologists. This is consistent with the challenges documented by Meng[8] who emphasized that overcoming these barriers is essential for leveraging the full potential of integrated care teams in obstetrics.

CONCLUSION

The cross-sectional survey conducted on the integration of anesthesiologists into obstetric care teams has provided substantial evidence supporting the significant benefits of their active involvement in the delivery process. The findings from our study indicate that the presence of anesthesiologists in

obstetric teams is crucial for reducing labor time, lowering cesarean section rates, enhancing patient satisfaction, and minimizing postpartum complications. These results are consistent with broader research, which highlights the pivotal role that specialized anesthetic care plays in improving both maternal and neonatal outcomes.

Moreover, our survey has revealed that while many healthcare settings are moving towards greater integration of anesthesiologists, especially in challenging cases, there remain considerable barriers that limit their full potential impact. Issues such as insufficient staffing, lack of specific training and inadequate organizational policies are hindering optimal integration. Addressing these barriers is essential for capitalizing on the benefits that anesthesiologists can offer to obstetric care.

The survey underscores the need for healthcare policies that support the training of more anesthesiologists specialized in obstetrics, adequate staffing solutions and the development of policies that facilitate a more integrated care approach. Furthermore, continual education and training for existing medical staff on the benefits of integrated care teams could enhance collaboration and effectiveness of maternal care services.

In conclusion, the integration of anesthesiologists into obstetric care teams is not merely a beneficial enhancement but a critical component of modern obstetric care that significantly contributes to safer and more effective delivery outcomes. As such, stakeholders in the healthcare sector are urged to consider these findings and work towards integrated care models that can better meet the complex needs of pregnant women and their babies.

Limitations of Study:

Cross-Sectional Design: The inherent nature of the cross-sectional design limits our ability to establish causality. This study captures data at a single point in time, which means it can only suggest associations rather than prove cause-and-effect relationships between the integration of anesthesiologists and delivery outcomes.

Selection Bias: The participants in this survey were selected from a specific set of healthcare settings, which may not be representative of all obstetric care environments. This can limit the generalizability of the findings to other regions or countries with different healthcare systems or practices.

Self-Reported Data: The reliance on self-reported data from healthcare providers might introduce bias, as responses could be influenced by personal experiences, beliefs, or the social desirability of promoting integrated care models.

Lack of Control Group: The absence of a control group with no anesthesiologist involvement at all (beyond the less integrated scenarios) limits the depth of analysis regarding the true impact of anesthesiologists' complete absence in obstetric care teams.

Sample Size and Diversity: While a sample size of 200 might provide initial insights, it may not be large enough to capture the full range of experiences and outcomes associated with anesthesiologists' roles in diverse obstetric settings. Moreover, the study might lack sufficient power to detect smaller but clinically significant effects.

Variability in Integration Levels: The study assumes a uniform level of integration and competency among anesthesiologists, which might not accurately reflect real-world variability in skills, experience and the manner of integration into the obstetric teams.

Potential Confounders: The survey may not have adequately accounted for all potential confounding variables that could influence delivery outcomes, such as differences in institutional policies, patient demographics, or the specific training and expertise of the anesthesiologists involved.

REFERENCES

1. Warrick, C.M., C.D. Sutton, M.M. Farber, P.E. Hess, A. Butwick and J.C. Markley, 2023. Anesthesia considerations for placenta accreta spectrum. Am. J. Per., 40: 980-987.
2. Lim, G., A.J. Lim, B. Quinn, B. Carvalho, M. Zakowski and G.C. Lynde, 2023. Obstetric operating room staffing and operating efficiency using queueing theory. BMC Hea Serv. Res., Vol. 23, No. 1 .10.1186/s12913-023-10143-0.
3. Breitkopf, D., E. Banks, D. Chelmow, E. Lara-Torre and K. McCue et al., 2023. Levels of gynecologic care. Obs. amp Gy., 141: 1036-1045.
4. Buckingham, G., E. Maronge and K.F. Walker, 2023. Pain relief in maternity care and the role of the obstetric anaesthetist. Obstet. Gyn amp Rep. Med., 33: 49-53.
5. Peace, J.M. and F.M. Peralta, 2023. Obstetric Anesthesia for the Parturient with Complex Medical Diseases. Obs Ane Unc Dis., Vol. 1.
6. Albright, C.M., J. Steiner, L. Sienas, C. Delgado and J. Buber, 2023. Main operating room deliveries for patients with high-risk cardiovascular disease. Open Heart, Vol. 10 .10.1136/openhrt-2022-002213.

7. Kornelsen, J., S. Lin, K. Williams, T. Skinner and S. Ebert, 2023. System interventions to support rural access to maternity care: An analysis of the rural surgical obstetrical networks program. BMC Preg Chil., Vol. 23, No. 1 .10.1186/s12884-023-05898-7.
8. Lips, P., 2010. Worldwide status of vitamin D nutrition. The J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 121: 297-300