

Improvement DNA Extraction for Molecular Identification of *Eimeria* species in Different Age-groups and Breeding Conditions of Chicken in Bacgiang Province, Vietnam

¹Tran Duc Hoan, ¹Doan Thi Thao and ²Javaid Ali Gadahi ¹Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Bacgiang University of Agriculture and Forestry, Vietyen district, Bacgiang province, Vietnam ²Department of Veterinary Parasitology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan

Abstract: This study aimed to describe the improvement of DNA extraction of coccidial oocysts then DNA extraction used for Polymerase Chain Reaction amplification to identify *Eimeria* sp. infection in chickens in Bacgiang province of Vietnam. A total of 126 faecal samples were collected from households, semi-commercial and commercial poultry farms in different age-groups. These chickens had no history of vaccination against coccidiosis and had never been given coccidiostat drugs. Oocysts were collected from the microscopically positive samples and DNA was isolated for the PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) amplification. The results showed that 87 (77.68%) were positive. The infection rate of *E. tenella*, *E. acervulina*, *E. brunetti*, *E. maxima*, *E. mitis* and *E. necatrix* were 78.16, 70.11, 58.62, 43.68, 28.74 and 26.44%, respectively. *Eimeria praecox* was not found in this study. So, far in Vietnam, the application of PCR techniques in diagnosis diseases in animal in general and in parasitic diseases in particularly has not been common yet, throughout this study, an important step will be opened the new tendency in improvement of DNA extraction and molecular diagnosis animal diseases in stead of conventional methods.

Key words: Improvement, Eimeria sp., chicken, bacgiang province, vietnam

INTRODUCTION

Coccidiosis is caused by protozoan parasite which causes mortality, morbidity and weight loss in chicken (Jensen et al., 2000). It is one of the most commonly prevalent and economically important parasitic diseases in poultry industry all over the world (Conway and Mckenzie, 2007; Intervet, 2009). Nine different species of *Eimeria* have been identified (Permin and Hansen, 2005; (Conway and Mckenzie, 2007). Out of them E. acervulina, E. necatrix, E. tenella, E. maxima and E. brunetti are the major species infected in chickens. The infection of Eimeria sp. usually mixed due to ingestion of Oocysts (Fayer, 1980). These infections lead to disorders digestion resulting from damage to the intestinal epithelium, mal-absorption of nutrients, changes in protein metabolism after absorption, reduced efficiency of feed conversion and reduction in weight gain

(Conway *et al.*, 1993; Shirley *et al.*, 2005). Mortality and economic losses, especially in cases of outbreaks are frequent (Morris and Gasser, 2006). Avian coccidiosis is a very common disease and is considered one of the diseases causing major damage in livestock. Whooping make poor growth, reduced weight gains and egg production, stunting, emaciation and anaemia as well as mortality (Intervet, 2009). Every year, the cost for the vaccine up to \$90 million in the U.S in Italy 20 million Lira, Hungary is 15 million forint in China the annual expenditure is \$30-60 million due to in-feed medication for the control of Eimeria (Hao *et al.*, 2007).

Poultry farming is quite common in Vietnam in general and Bacgiang province in particular. Bacgiang is a mid-high land in the Northern of Vietnam here has been developing the breeding chickens with various systems, contributing the majority of income for the rural population at large scale. In the earlier investigations

Corresponding Author: Tran Duc Hoan, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Bacgiang University of Agriculture and Forestry, Vietyen district, Bacgiang province, Vietnam

of the infection of *Eimeria* species in chicken in Vietnam, 5 species (*Eimeria tenella*, *Eimeria necatrix*, *Eimeria maxima*, *Eimeria acervulina* and *Eimeria mitis*) were found in the Northern provinces of Vietnam by morphological methods and 2 species (*Eimeria tenella* and *Eimeria necatrix*) were most pathogenic. However, these methods still relied on prevalence estimates or individual species identification.

DNA extraction is a beginning and important technique for molecular studies. The most commonly used protocol for molecular identification of *Eimeria* species is based on homogenate grinding of oocysts combined with classical phenol-chloroform DNA extraction (Al-Idreesi *et al.*, 2013; Keller and Manak, 1989).

This protocol is both labour and time-consuming. The bottleneck for an effective diagnostic procedure is not the PCR amplification of the genomic coccidial DNA, since that has been shown to be highly sensitive but rather the preparation of the DNA from oocysts (Fernandez *et al.*, 2003).

Recent year, PCR assay has been applied for the diagnosis of coccidial parasites of man and animals. A number of approaches have proved to be both specific and highly sensitive for analyses either of parasites grown *in vitro* or present in tissue samples and clinical material (Patra *et al.*, 2010).

The development of molecular techniques has allowed precise diagnosis of *Eimeria* species, investigation of the genetic variability of these pathogens and a search for molecular characteristics associated with phenotypical characteristics that may constitute the use of molecular markers (Costa *et al.*, 2001; Schnitzler *et al.*, 1999). Molecular techniques may also contribute to the development of new vaccines and selection of anti-coccidial drugs to be used in control programs (Lee *et al.*, 2010; Morris and Gasser, 2006; Sun *et al.*, 2009).

In this study, researchers reported the improvement of DNA extraction for further studies (molecular diagnosis, cloning, sequencing, etc.) and analyzed the molecular prevalence and identification of the *Eimeria* species infection on chickens on different age-groups and breeding conditions of chicken in Bacgiang province, Vietnam and also looked at the *Eimeria* species preponderance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and sampling methods: The study area was located at Bacgiang province, one local with hot-humid climatic condition of Vietnam. The average temperature of Bacgiang is 22.5°C, ranging between 10.4 and 37.2°C. The 42 households with scavenging chickens, 42 households with semi-commercial and 42 commercial farms were randomly selected for sampling. A minimum of 30 birds including apparently healthy and sicky chickens were again randomly sampled per household

without consideration for age or sex. The sampling was done between the months from September, 2012 to August, 2013. Fresh faecal droppings were collected in sterile universal bottles and carcasses were collected in polythene leather bags and transported to the laboratory immediately for processing.

Laboratory examination: Laboratory examination was done by wet mount smears of the faecal droppings as described by Fleck and Moody (1993). Concentration technique was also used for counting of oocyst as described by Brown and Neva (1983) and examined under the microscope using x10 objective. Oocysts were collected from microscopically positive samples (Daugschies *et al.*, 2002) and sporulation was performed at 24-26°C in a 2.5% aqueous solution of potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇). The sporulated oocyst were concentrated by centrifugation and stored in potassium dichromate at 4°C.

DNA extraction: Coccidial oocysts was first purified described by Zhao et al. (2001) follow by extraction from sporulated oocysts glass beads to the oocyst suspension and then vortex until the glass-bead grinding ruptures the oocysts (Al-Idreesi et al., 2013; MacPherson and Gajadhar, 1993; Molloy et al., 1998; Procunier et al., 1993; Shirley, 1975). Then, the DNA was transferred to purify using DNA extraction spins protocol (TaKaRa Biotech Science) as manufacturer's instruction (Fig. 1). Briefly, the DNA was placed a Miniprep column into a 2 mL microfuge tube (provided). Discard the filtrate from the 2 mL microfuge tube. Return the Miniprep column the 2 mL microfuge tube and add 500 µL Buffer W1, then washed with Buffer W2 two times. Transfer the Miniprep column into a clean 1.5 mL microfuge tube (provided). To elute the DNA. add 25-30 uL of Eluent Buffer or deionized water to the center of the membrane. Let it stand for 1 min at room temperature. Centrifuge at 12,000x g for 1 min. The present Eimeria species in each mixture of oocyst was tested by PCR using published species primer sequences (Table 1).



Fig. 1: DNA extraction spins steps

Eimeria species	Primer	PCR product sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Size (nt)
E. acervulina	EaF	GGCTTGGATGATGTTTGCTG	60	321
	EaR	CGAACGCAATAACACACGCT		
E. brunetti	EbF	GATCAGTTTGAGCAAACCTTCG	45	310
	EbR	TGGTCTTCCGTACGTCGGAT		
E. maxima	EmaF	CGTTGTGAGAARACTGRAAGGG	51	144
	EmaR	GCGGTTTCATCATCCATCATCG		
E. mitis	EmiF	TATTTCCTGTCGTCGTCTCGC	54	306
	EmiR	GTATGCAAGAGAGAATCGGGA		
E. necatrix	EnF	GTCAGCTTTTTGCCTGGGTG	55	285
	EnR	ACAGACCGCTACACAACACG		
E. praecox	EpF	CATCATCGGAATGGCTTTTTGA	54	368
	EpR	AATAAATAGCGCAAAATTAAGCA		
E. tenella	EtF	AATTTAGTCCATCGCAACCCT	60	271
	EtR	CGAGCGCTCTGCATACGACA		

Vet. Res., 7 (4): 34-39, 2014

. . . . T 1 1 D . C DOD

PCR identification: The extracted DNA was submitted to PCR and the volume of 25 µL was used for PCR amplification. Thermo-cycling condition reaction were as follows: 1 cycle at 95°C for 7 min; 35 cycles at 95°C for 20 sec, 44 to 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 1 min; 1 cycle at 72°C for 5 min (Gautam et al., 2010; Jenkins et al., 2006a, b). 200 nM dNTP (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ), 20 mM Tris pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 1 U rTaq polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) in a PTC200 Mini-cyclerTM (MJ Research, Watertown, VA) (Haug et al., 2007; Schnitzler et al., 1999, 1998).

PCR products were separated by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis (Bio-metra, Gottingen, Germany). The gels were stained in an aqueous ethidium bromide solution (0.5 μ g mL⁻¹) and DNA bands were visualized under UV light (transillumi-nator; UV wavelength, 254 nm; TFX-20 M, Vilber Lourmat, France) and photographed by a digital camera (CSE-0028, Cybertech, Berlin, Germany) (Jenkins et al., 2006a, b; Tsuji et al., 1997).

RESULTS

The bands showed in Fig. 2 indicated that this DNA extraction method was high efficiency (only one smear appeared in each lane) meanwhile the impurities in DNA samples might be reduced. In the tested 126 samples of households, 39 faecal samples did not vield positive PCR amplification and 87 (77.68%) were positive for Eimeria sp. (Fig. 2).

The results of the PCR in case of different age-groups revealed that E. tenella was identified with high infection as 78.16% followed by E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. brunetti, E. mitis and E. necatrix (Table 2). The same trend was also found in case of different breeding conditions E. tenella was most prevalent followed by E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. maxima, E. mitis and E. necatrix (Table 3) and E. praecox was not found.

In different age-groups chickens, the infection rate of *Eimeria* species was recorded as highest in week 4; 5 and



Fig. 2: Identification of Eimeria species in chickens in Bacgiang province of Vietnam by agarose gel electrophoresis (1%) of PCR products; Lane M 100 bp DNA ladder; Lane 1 E. acervulina primers (+, 321 bp); Lane 2 E. brunetti primers (+, 310 bp); Lane 3 E. maxima primers (+, 144 bp); Lane 4 E. mitis primers (+, 306 bp); Lane 5 E. necatrix primers (+, 271 bp); Lane 6 E. praecox primers (-, 368 bp); Lane 7 E. tenella primers (+, 285 bp)

6 (94.44; 100 and 100%) in case of lowest in week 2 (33.33%). This study also showed that the infection rate of *Eimeria* species begin infection from week 2, highest in week 4: 5 and 6 and then decreased in week 7 and 8.

In different breeding conditions chickens, the highest infection rate of Eimeria species was conducted in commercial chicken farm (78.57%) followed by semi-commercial (69.05%) and lowest in households (59.52%). This indicated Eimeria species infected popularly in commercial farm. All positive samples had multiple infections with 2-6 species of Eimeria. None of them had infections with single species of *Eimeria* or all seven species.

Vet. Res., 7 (4): 34-39, 20	14
-----------------------------	----

Table 2: Ide	ntification of l	Eimeria species by	PCR amplifica	tion in different	age-groups				
			Molecula	ar identification	by PCR (%)				
No. of examined Microscopically									
Age-groups	samples	positive (%)	E.a	E.b	E.ma	E.mi	E.n	E.p	E.t
Week 2	18	6 (33.33)	4 (22.22)	1 (5.56)	3 (16.67)	0	0	0	4 (22.22)
Week 3	18	10 (55.56)	5 (27.78)	3 (16.67)	5 (27.78)	1 (5.56)	0	0	7 (38.89)
Week 4	18	17 (94.44)	11 (61.11)	8 (44.44)	9 (50.00)	4 (22.22)	2 (11.11)	0	13 (72.22)
Week 5	18	18 (100)	14 (77.78)	8 (44.44)	10 (55.56)	6 (33.33)	4 (22.22)	0	13 (72.22)
Week 6	18	18 (100)	13 (72.22)	9 (50.00)	11 (61.11)	7 (38.89)	5 (27.78)	0	14 (77.78)
Week 7	18	11 (61.11)	9 (50.00)	6 (33.33)	8 (44.44)	4 (22.22)	7 (38.89)	0	10 (55.56)
Week 8	18	7 (38.38)	5 (27.78)	3 (16.67)	5 (27.78)	3 (16.67)	5 (27.78)	0	7 (38.89)
Total	126	87 (77.68)	61 (70.11)	38 (43.68)	51 (58.62)	25 (28.74)	23 (26.44)	0	68 (78.16)
F F:	. 1.	$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F}$	D D	· · · ·				D · ·	F .

E.a:, Eimeria acervulina; E.b:, Eimeria brunetti; E.ma: Eimeria maxima; E.mi: Eimeria mitis; E.n: Eimeria necatrix; E.p: Eimeria praecox; E.t: Eimeria tenella

Table 3: Identification of Eimeria species by PCR amplification in different breeding conditions

			Molecul	ar identification	n by PCR (%)				
Breeding	No. of examined	Microscopical	ly						
conditions	samples	positive (%)	E.a	E.b	E.ma	E.mi	E.n	E.p	E.t
House-hold	42	25 (59.52)	14 (33.33)	9 (21.43)	12 (28.57)	7 (16.67)	5 (11.90)	0	16 (38.10)
Commercial farm	42	33 (78.57)	26 (61.90)	17 (40.48)	20 (47.62)	10 (23.81)	9 (21.43)	0	27 (64.29)
Semi-commercial fa	ırm 42	29 (69.05)	21 (50.00)	12 (28.57)	19 (45.24)	8 (19.05)	9 (21.43)	0	25 (59.52)
Total	126	87 (77.68)	61 (70.11)	38 (43.68)	51 (58.62)	25 (28.74)	23 (26.44)	0	68 (78.16)

E.a, Eimeria acervulina; E.b, Eimeria brunetti; E.ma, Eimeria maxima; E.mi, Eimeria mitis; E.n, Eimeria necatrix; E.p, Eimeria praecox; E.t, Eimeria tenella

DISCUSSION

In this study, six *Eimeria* sp. were identified in chickens. The overall prevalence of *Eimeria* sp. was 77.68% (87 of 126 birds). This showed that the infection of *Eimeria* species in Bacgiang province of Vietnam was prevalent.

Some reported results of some researchers about prevalence of coccidian parasite such as the Eimeria species infecting chickens mostly in Ethiopia showed E. tenella, E. acervulina, E. maxima, E.brunetti, E. mitis and E. necatrix (Gari et al., 2008). In another study of the prevalence of E. tenella was 80% among the house reared chicks in Saudi Arabia (Al-Quraishy et al., 2009) besides in Korea, E. tenella was the highest infection rate (78%) and E. necatrix has the lowest infection rate (36%) among the Eimeria sp. (Heo et al., 2004). In case of the prevalence and preponderance of *Eimeria* species sp. among chickens in India were showed that Eimeria necatrix infecting chickens was the highest rate (100%) (Aarthi et al., 2010). The most recent result of the major Eimeria species E. tenella was the most prevalent species (24%) followed by E. acervulina (18%), E. necatrix (12%) and E. maxima (10%) (Hadipour et al., 2011).

In current research, *Eimeria tenella* was the most prevalent species (78.16%). Six species of *Eimeria* (*E. tenella, E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. brunetti, E. mitis* and *E. necatrix*) were identified and the rate of infection *Eimeria* sp. among different age-groups chicken was different, highest in week 4; 5 and 6, decreased from week 7 and 8 (Fig. 3). Hence, coccidiosis just occurred and damaged strongest from week 3-7.



Fig. 3: The rate of *Eimeria* sp. infection on chickens in different age-groups

In case of among scavenging native chickens in household, commercial farm and semi-commercial farm in Bacgiang of Vietnam was also different visibly (Fig. 4). This indicated that different breeding conditions were influence on the infection of *Eimeria* sp. in chickens visibly.

The preponderant species were *E. tenella*, *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina* and *E. brunetti*. These results were different with previous report of some researchers relying only on prevalence estimates or individual species identification about the preponderance of *Eimeria* sp. *(E. tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. maxima* and *E. mitis*) in Vietnam.

Concurrently, the difference of infection rate of *Eimeria* sp. between colour broiler and broiler was not significant. However, there were differences between two above candidates and layer chickens. These differences showed that different old day of chicken the rate of





infection of coccidiosis in general and the infection of *Eimeria* species in particular was not similar. In general, *Eimeria tenella* and *Eimeria acervulina* were high prevalent and preponderance in chickens. Reported prevalence of *Eimeria* sp. in China, the infection rate of identified *Eimeria* sp. in the farms was 90, 88, 72, 68, 60, 26 and 8% for *E. tenella*, *E. praecox*, *E. acervulina*, *E. maxima*, *E. mitis*, *E. necatrix* and *E. brunetti*, respectively (Sun *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, the nearest report in Nigeria showed that the prevalence of 71 and 57.7% for the mixed infection of 29 and 42.3% for the single infections in layer and broiler, respectively (Jatau *et al.*, 2012).

CONCLUSION

The present study also showed that there was poor agreement between PCR and traditional identification for diagnosis of Eimeria species. Traditional methods are not sufficiently reliable for specific diagnosis of Eimeria species in chickens. Alternatively, occurrence of multiple infections in a single bird and the fact that Eimeria species with low oocyst frequency in the mixture may be missed indicates that PCR based amplification of DNA sequence of parasite could resolve this problem and overcame the limitation in analysis of small amounts of oocyst in mixed infections. Hence, in the future, the sufficiently reliable method for specific diagnosis of Eimeria species in chickens and PCR based amplification of DNA sequence of parasite would have been on behalf of traditional methods. In addition, reported more purifier, reduced both labour and time-consuming method for DNA purification for further molecular studies in general and instead of Phenol-Chloroform Isoamyl Alcohol DNA extraction method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by A Project Foundation by the Priority Academic Program Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Bacgiang University of Agriculture and Forestry, Vietnam. Researchers also thank the households in the villages and the poultry farm owners for cooperation in this study who allowed us to take samples.

REFERENCES

- Aarthi, S., G.D. Raj, M. Raman, S. Gomathinayagam and K. Kumanan, 2010. Molecular prevalence and preponderance of *Eimeria* Spp. Among Chickens in Tamil Nadu, India. Parasitol. Res., 107: 1013-1017.
- Al-Idreesi, S.R., M. Kweider and M.M. Katranji, 2013. Efficacy of *Eimeria tenella* (Oocyst and Sporozoite) proteins as a vaccine in broilers against coccidiosis. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 12: 157-163.
- Al-Quraishy, S., A.S. Abdel-Baki and M.A. Dkhil, 2009. *Eimeria tenella* infection among broiler chicks *Gallus domesticus* in Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia. J. King Saud Univ. Sci., 21: 191-193.
- Brown, H.W. and F.A. Neva, 1983. Basic Parasitology. 5th Edn., Apple-Century-Crofts, USA., pp: 319-321.
- Conway, D.P. and M.E. McKenzie, 2007. Poultry Coccidiosis, Diagnostic and Testing Proceduces. Blackwell Publishing, Iowa, USA.
- Conway, D.P., K.S. Sasai, M. Gaafar and C.D. Smothers, 1993. Effects of different levels of oocyst inocula of *Eimeria acervulina*, *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* on plasma constituents, packed cell volume, lesion scores and performance in chickens. Avian Dis., 37: 118-123.
- Costa, C.A., R.F. Gomes, M.N. Melo and M.F. Ribeiro, 2001. *Eimeria* parasites of domestic fowl: Genetic relationships of different isolates estimated from random amplified polymorphic DNA. Parasitol. Res., 87: 459-466.
- Daugschies, A., R. Bose, J. Marx, K. Teich and K.T. Friedhoff, 2002. Development and application of a standardized assay for chemical disinfection of coccidia oocysts. Vet. Parasitol., 103: 299-308.
- Fayer, R., 1980. Epidemiology of protozoan infections: The coccidia. Vet. Parasitol., 6: 75-103.
- Fernandez, S., A.H. Pagotto, M.M. Furtado, A.M. Katsuyama, A.M. Madeira and A. Gruber, 2003. A multiplex PCR assay for the simultaneous detection and discrimination of the seven *Eimeria* species that infect domestic fowl. Parasitology, 127: 317-325.
- Fleck, S.L. and A.H. Moody, 1993. Diagnosistic Technique in Medical Parasitology. 11th Edn., Cambidge University Press, Cambidge, pp: 10-14.
- Gari, G., G. Tilahun and P. Dorchies, 2008. Study on poultry coccidiosis in Tiyo district, Arsi zone, Ethiopia. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 7: 251-256.

- Hadipour, M.M., A. Olyaie, M. Naderi, F. Azad and O. Nekouiem, 2011. Prevalence of *Eimeria* species in scavenging native chickens of Shiraz, Iran. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res., 5: 3296-3299.
- Hao, L., X. Liu, X. Zhou, J. Li and X. Suo, 2007. Transient transfection of *Eimeria tenella* using yellow or red fluorescent protein as a marker. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol., 153: 213-215.
- Hao, L., X. Liu, X. Zhou, J. Li and X. Suo, 2007. Transient transfection of *Eimeria tenella* using yellow or red fluorescent protein as a marker. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol., 153: 213-215.
- Heo, J., M. Jung, K. Kim, M. Cho and K. Lee *et al.*, 2004. A survey of chicken coccidiosis in slaughtered chickens. J. Vet. Clin., 21: 161-167.
- Intervet, 2009. Important poultry diseases. Intervet International bv, Netherlands. http://www.canadianpoultry.ca/cms_pdfs/Important %20Poultry%20Diseases%20060058%20-% 20CPC%20website.pdf.
- Jatau, I.D., N.H. Sulaiman, I.W. Musa, A.I. Lawal, O.O. Okubanjo, I. Isah and Y. Magaji, 2012. Prevalence of coccidia infection and preponderance *Eimeria* Species in free range indigenous and intensively managed exotic chickens during hot-wet Season, in Zaria, Nigeria. Asian J. Poult. Sci., 6: 79-88.
- Jenkins, M.C., K. Miska and S. Klopp, 2006. Application of polymerase chain reaction based on ITS1 RDNA to speciate *Eimeria*. Avian Dis., 50: 110-114.
- Jenkins, M.C., K. Miska and S. Klopp, 2006. Improved polymerase chain reaction technique for determining the species composition of *Eimeria* in poultry litter. Avian Dis., 50: 632-635.
- Jensen, B., D. James, M. Hammond and W. Trager, 2000. *In Vitro* Cultivation of Protozoan Parasites. CRC Press, USA.
- Keller, G.H. and M.M. Manak, 1989. DNA Probes. Macmillan, London.
- Lee, B.H., W.H. Kim, J. Jeong, J. Yoo and Y. Know *et al.*, 2010. Prevalence and cross-immunity of *Eimeria* species on Korean chicken farms. J. Vet. Med. Sci., 72: 985-989.
- MacPherson, J.M. and A.A. Gajadhar, 1993. Differentiation of seven *Eimeria* species by random amplified polymorphic DNA. Vet. Parasitol., 45: 257-266.

- Molloy, J.B., F.W. Eaves, P.J. Jeston, C.M. Minchin, N.P. Stewart, A.E. Lew and W.K. Jorgensen, 1998. Detection of *Eimeria acervulina* using the polymerase chain reaction. Avian Dis., 42: 119-123.
- Morris, G.M. and R.B. Gasser, 2006. Biotechnological advances in the diagnosis of avian coccidiosis and the analysis of genetic variation in *Eimeria*. Biotechnol. Adv., 24: 590-603..
- Patra, G., M.A. Ali, K.V. Chanu, L. Jonathan and L.K. Joy *et al.*, 2010. PCR based diagnosis of *Eimeria tenella* infection in broiler chicken. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 9: 813-818.
- Permin, A. and J.W. Hansen, 2005. The Epidemiology, Diagnosis and Control of Poultry Parasites. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Procunier, J.D., M.A. Fernando and J.R. Barta, 1993. Species and strain differentiation of *Eimeria* Spp. of the domestic fowl using DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers. Parasitol. Res., 79: 98-102.
- Schnitzler, B.E., P.L. Thebo, F.M. Tomley, A. Uggla and M.W. Shirley, 1999. PCR identification of chicken *Eimeria*: A simplified read-out. Avian Pathol., 28: 89-93.
- Schnitzler, B.E., P.L. Thebo, J.G. Mattsson, F.M. Tomley and M.W. Shirley, 1998. Development of a diagnotic pcr assay for the detection and discrimination of four pathogenic *Eimeria* Species of the chicken. Avian Pathol., 27: 490-497.
- Shirley, M.W., 1975. Enzyme variation in *Eimeria* species of the chicken. Parasitology, 71: 369-376.
- Shirley, M.W., A.L. Smith and F.M. Tomley, 2005. The biology of avian *Eimeria* with an emphasis on their control by vaccination. Adv. Parasitol., 60: 285-330.
- Sun, X.M., W. Pang, T. Jia, W.C. Yan and G. He *et al.*, 2009. Prevalence of *Eimeria* species in broilers with subclinical signs from fifty farms. Avian. Dis., 53: 301-305.
- Tsuji, N., S. Kawazu, M. Ohta, T. Kamio, T. Isobe, K. Shimura and K. Fujisaki, 1997. Discrimination of eight chicken *Eimeria* species using the two-step polymerase chain reaction. J. Parasitol., 83: 966-970.
- Zhao, X., W.D. Duszynski and E.S. Loker, 2001. A simple method of DNA extraction for *Eimeria* species. J. Microbiol. Methods, 44: 131-137.